Analyzing Evidence: A Research Game Changer

By Mindy Taylor AG[®] MindyTaylorAG@gmail.com

The following definitions are quoted from:

Mastering Genealogical Proof by Thomas W. Jones and Evidence Explained by Elizabeth Shown Mills

Why analyze evidence?

- 1. Alerts us to sources that may have more errors than others.
- 2. Causes us to pursue originals.
- 3. Strengthens the credibility of our conclusions.

Start with a research question. What do you want to know? Be specific.

Always try to find the original.

Find as many **independent** sources as you can to answer your research question (records created by different entities, for different purposes, at different times).

Keep in mind no source is immune to error!

Sources

(Container)

Sources are published and unpublished works, artifacts, registers, and websites. Sources are not finding aids, indexes, or search engines.

Records

(Document an action or event)

Original

(Not based on prior records)

Derivative

(Created from prior records)

Derivative records include transcriptions, abstractions, translations, and databases.

The more times that things are recopied the more chance there is for error.

Authored Works

(Based on information from many prior sources) Authored works include family histories, obituaries, one-name studies.

Credibility will depend on how well the author sourced the work.

<u>Information</u>

(Content)

Judged by informant's degree of participation or knowledge.

Information also tends to be more reliable the closer in time the information was recorded compared to the event.

Primary

(First hand knowledge)

Secondary

(Second hand knowledge someone told them)

Indeterminable/ Unknown

(Informant is unknown)

Information can only be primary or secondary when the informant can be inferred.

One informant may give primary and secondary information on the same document.

Creating a derivative record from an original one does not change its primary information to secondary information.

Evidence

(How we use information items; evidence only exists in our minds)

Based on relevance of information and its adequacy to answer our research question. Evidence does not exist in the absence of a question.

Direct

(Answers research question directly)
Can be right or wrong.

Indirect

(Two or more information items that suggest an answer to a research question only when combined)

Combining information from different sources does not make evidence indirect.

Negative

(Absence of information that answers a research question)

Contextually suggestive silence.

Proof rests on the sum of evidence.